

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 98-1863**

---

In Re: RICHARD L. BAST,

Debtor.

---

RICHARD L. BAST,

Debtor - Appellant,

versus

ROGER A. COX; COHEN, GETTINGS, DUNHAM & DAVIS,  
PC; COHEN, DUNN & CURCIO, PC; CLAUDE DAVID  
CONVISSER; VICTOR MICHAEL GLASBERG; JORDAN,  
COYNE & SAVITS; CHARLES WARREN KRAMER; JODY L.  
NEWMAN; BARBARA O. REEVES; DAVID B. SMITH;  
VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR & PEASE,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

UNITED STATES TRUSTEE,

Party in Interest.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Greenbelt. Peter J. Messitte, District Judge. (CA-  
97-3988-PJM, BK-96-10118-DK, AP-97-1023-DK)

---

Submitted: March 30, 1999

Decided: April 23, 1999

---

Before WIDENER and LUTTIG, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Richard L. Bast, Appellant Pro Se. Frank Willard Dunham, Jr., Joel  
L. Dahnke, COHEN, GETTINGS & DUNHAM, Arlington, Virginia, for  
Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Richard L. Bast appeals the district court's orders dismissing his bankruptcy appeal as untimely and denying his motion for reconsideration of the same. We affirm.

To the extent that Bast seeks to appeal the district court's disposition of the bankruptcy court's September 2, 1997, order of non-dischargeability, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. See Bast v. Cox, No. CA-97-3988-PJM (D. Md. Mar. 27, 1998). To the extent that he seeks to appeal the denial of his motion to alter or amend judgment filed in the bankruptcy court, we find that Bast has waived all issues attendant to this motion by failing to raise them in his informal brief. See Local Rule 34(b). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's decision and deny Bast's motion for appointment of counsel. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED